

## A Survey of Multibiometric Systems

Sushmita Paul<sup>1</sup> , Sarbajit Ghosh<sup>2</sup> Chowdhury Md Mizan<sup>3</sup>, Tridib Chakraborty<sup>4</sup> ,Sudeep Ghosh<sup>5</sup> <sup>1, 2,</sup>  
Student, Department of Information Technology  
<sup>3,4,5</sup> Assistant Professor, Department of Information technology Guru Nanak Institute of Technology,  
Sodepur, Kolkata-700114, India  
{1sushmitapaul388@gmail.com, 2sarbajitghosh26@gmail.com 3chowdhurymd.mizan,  
tridib.chakraborty, 5sudeep.ghosh,}@gnit.ac.in

published online at <https://gnitresearchmantra.in/>

---

**Abstract:** Most biometric systems deployed in real-world applications are unimodal. Using unimodal biometric systems have to contend with a variety of problems such as: Noise in sensed data; Intra-class variations; Inter-class similarities; Nonuniversality; Spoof attacks. These problems have addressed by using multibiometric systems, which expected to be more reliable due to the presence of multiple, independent pieces of evidence. General Terms Biometric.

Keywords Biometric System, Uni-Biometric, Multi-Biometric, Fusion.

# INTRODUCTION

A dependable identification control gadget is a crucial aspect in numerous packages that render offerings to handiest legitimately en-rolled users.

Examples of such packages encompass sharing net-labored laptop resources, granting right of entry to nuclear facilities, appearing faraway monetary transactions or boarding a business flight.

The proliferation of web-primarily based total offerings (e.g., on-line banking) and the deployment of decentralized customer support centers (e.g., credit score cards) have similarly better the want for dependable identification control structures.

Traditional strategies of setting up someone's identification encompass expertise-primarily based totally (e.g., passwords) and token-primarily based totally (e.g., ID cards) mechanisms, however those surrogate representations of identification may be without difficulty lost, shared, manipulated or stolen thereby undermining the meant safety.

Biometrics gives a herbal and dependable way to positive factors of identification control via means of making use of absolutely computerized or semi-computerized schemes to understand people primarily based totally on their inherent bodily and/or behavioral traits. By the usage of biometrics (Refer the beneath neath figure) it's viable to set up an identification primarily based totally on who we are, as opposed to via means of what we possess, along with an ID card, or what we remember, along with a password.



Most biometric structures which can be currently in use, generally use a single biometric trait to set up identification (i.e., they're uni biometric structures). Some of the demanding situations typically encountered via way of means of biometric structures are indexed here:

**(a) Noise in sensed facts:** The biometric facts being supplied to the gadget can be infected via means of noise because of imperfect acquisition situations or diffused versions withinside the biometric itself.

**(b)Non-universality:** The biometric gadget won't be capable of accumulating significant biometric facts from a subset of people ensuing in a failure-to-enroll (FTE) blunders.

**(c) Upper certain on identity accuracy:** The matching overall performance of a uni biometric gadget can't be indefinitely progressed via means of tuning the characteristic extraction and matching modules. There is an implicit top certain at the quantity of distinguishable patterns (i.e., the quantity of wonderful biometric characteristic units) that may be represented by the usage of a template.

**(d)Spoof assaults:** Behavioral developments along with voice and signature are susceptible to spoof assaults via way of means of an impostor trying to mimic the developments similar to legitimately enrolled topics Physical developments along with fingerprints also can be spoofed via way of means of inscribing ridge-like systems on artificial cloth along with gelatine and play-doh. Targeted spoof assaults can undermine the safety afforded by way of means of the biometric gadget and, consequently, mitigate its advantages.

Some of the constraints of a uni biometric gadget may be addressed via means of designing a gadget that consolidates more than one asset of biometric records.

This may be performed via means of fusing, for example, more than one development of a character, or more than one characteristic extraction and matching algorithms working at the identical biometric. Such structures, referred to as multibiometric structures, can enhance the matching accuracy of a biometric gadget at the same time as growing populace insurance and deterring spoof assaults. This paper gives a top level view of multibiometric structures.

# **1. ADVANTAGES OF MULTIBIOMETRIC SYSTEMS**

- Besides improving matching accuracy, the opposite blessings of multibiometric structures over conventional uni biometric structures are enumerated beneath.
- Multibiometric structures deal with the difficulty of non-universality (i.e., restricted populace insurance) encountered via means of unibiometric structures. If a situation's dry finger prevents her from correctly enrolling right into a fingerprint gadget, then the provision of any other biometric trait, say iris, can resource withinside the inclusion of the character withinside the biometric gadget. A positive diploma of pliability is accomplished whilst a consumer enrolls into the gadget the usage of numerous one-of-a-kind developments (e.g., face, voice, fingerprint, iris, hand) at the same time as handiest a subset of those developments (e.g., face and voice) is asked at some stage in authentication primarily based totally on the character of the utility below attention and the benefit of the consumer.
- Multibiometric structures can facilitate the filtering or indexing of massive-scale biometric databases. For example, in a bimodal system which includes face and fingerprint, the face characteristic set is perhaps used to compute an index fee for extracting a candidate listing of ability identities from a massive database of topics. The fingerprint modality can then decide the very last identification from this restricted candidate listing.
- It turns into more and more tough (if now no longer impossible) for an impostor to spoof more than one biometric development of a legitimately enrolled character.

Furthermore, via means of asking the consumer to provide a random subset of developments on the factor of acquisition, a multibiometric gadget allows a challenge-reaction kind of mechanism, thereby making sure that the gadget is interacting with a stay consumer. Note that a challenge-reaction mechanism may be initiated in uni biometric structures additionally (e.g., gadget prompts "Please say 1-2-5-7", "Blink two times and flow our eyes to the proper", "Change our facial features via way of means of smiling", etc.).


- Multibiometric structures additionally correctly deal with the trouble of noisy facts. When the biometric sign received from a single trait is corrupted with noise, the provision of different (much less noisy) developments can also additionally resource withinside the dependable willpower of identification. Some structures consider the best of the character biometric alerts at some stage in the fusion method. This is especially critical whilst popularity has to take regions in unfavourable situations in which positive biometric developments can't be reliably extracted. For example, withinside the presence of ambient acoustic noise, whilst a character's voice traits can't be appropriately measured, the facial traits can be utilized by the multibiometric gadget to carry out authentication. Estimating the best of the received facts is in itself a hard trouble however, whilst correctly done, can gain massive advantages in a multibiometric gadget.
- These structures additionally assist withinside the non-stop tracking or monitoring of a character in conditions whilst a single trait isn't always sufficient. Consider a biometric gadget that makes use of a 2D digital digicam you purchased the face and gait records of someone strolling down a crowded aisle. Depending upon the

space and pose of the situation with appreciation to the digital digicam, each of those traits can also additionally or won't be concurrently to be had. Therefore, both (or each) of those developments may be used relying upon the area of the character with appreciation to the purchase gadget thereby allowing the non-stop tracking of the character.

- A multibiometric gadget will also be regarded as a fault tolerant gadget which maintains to function even if positive biometric assets end up unreliable because of sensor or software program malfunction, or planned consumer manipulation. The belief of fault tolerance is especially beneficial in massive-scale authentication structures related to a massive quantity of topics (along with a border manage utility).

## 2.TAXONOMY OF MULTIBIOMETRIC SYSTEMS

A multibiometric gadget is based on the proof supplied via means of more than one reassets of biometric records. Based on the character of those assets, a multibiometric gadget may be categorised into one of the following six categories : multi-sensor, multi-set of rules, multi-example, multi-pattern, multimodal and hybrid.



- I. **Multi-sensor structures:** Multi-sensor structures appoint more than one sensor to seize a single biometric trait of a character. For example, a face popularity gadget can

also additionally set up more than one 2D cameras to accumulate the face picture of a situation; an infrared sensor can be used along with a visible-mild sensor to accumulate the subsurface records of someone's face; a multispectral digital digicam can be used to accumulate pix of the iris, face or finger; or an optical in addition to a capacitive sensor can be used to picture the fingerprint of a situation.

The use of more than one sensor, in a few times, can bring about the purchase of complementary records that may decorate the popularity capacity of the gadget.

For example, primarily based totally on the character of illumination because of ambient lighting, the infrared and visible-mild pix of someone's face can give one-of-a-kind tiers of records ensuing in better matching accuracy. Similarly, the overall performance of a 2D face matching gadget may be progressed via means of making use of the form records supplied via means of three-D variety pix.

- II. **Multi-set of rules structures:** In a few cases, invoking more than one characteristic extraction and/or matching algorithms at the identical biometric facts can bring about progressed matching overall performance. Multi-set of rules structures consolidate the output of more than one characteristic extraction algorithm, or that of more than one matchers working at the identical characteristic set. These structures no longer necessitate the deployment of latest sensors and, hence, are fee-powerful in comparison to different sorts of multibiometric structures. But on the other hand, the creation of the latest characteristic extraction and matching modules can increase the computational complexity of those structures.

- III. **Multi-example structures:** These structures use more than one times of the identical frame trait and feature additionally

been known as multi-unit structures within the literature. For example, the left and proper index arms, or the left and proper irises of a character, can be used to affirm a character's identification. The US-VISIT border safety application currently makes use of the left- and proper-index arms of site visitors to validate their journey files on the port of entry. FBI's IAFIS combines the proof of all ten arms to decide an identical identification within the database. These structures may be fee-powerful if a single sensor is used to accumulate the multi-unit facts in a sequential fashion (e.g., US-VISIT). However, in a few times, it is able to be acceptable to reap the multi-unit facts concurrently (e.g., IAFIS) thereby disturbing the layout of an powerful (and probable extra expensive) acquisition device.

IV. **Multi-pattern structures:** a single sensor can be used to accumulate more than one sample of the identical biometric trait that allows you to account for the versions that may arise within the trait, or to reap an extra entire illustration of the underlying trait. A face gadget, for example, can also additionally seize (and store) the frontal profile of someone's face together with the left and proper profiles that allows you to account for versions within the facial pose. Similarly, a fingerprint gadget ready with a small length sensor can also additionally accumulate more than one dab prints of a character's finger that allows you to reap pix of numerous areas of the fingerprint. A mosaicing scheme can also additionally then be used to sew the more than one impressions and create a composite picture. One of the important problems in a multi-pattern gadget is figuring out the quantity of samples that must be received from a character. It is critical that the procured samples constitute the variety in

addition to the typicality of the character's biometric facts.

To this end, the preferred courting among the samples must be hooked up earlier than-hand that allows you to optimize the advantages of the combination strategy. For example, a face popularity gadget making use of each the frontal- and side-profile pix of a character can also additionally stipulate that the side-profile picture ought to be a 3-area view of the face. Alternatively, given hard and fast biometric samples, the gadget ought to be capable of routinely choosing the "optimal" subset that could excellently constitute the character's variability.


V. **Multimodal structures:** Multimodal structures set up identification primarily based totally at the proof of more than one biometric developments. For example, a number of the earliest multimodal biometric structures applied face and voice functions to set up the identification of a character. Physically uncorrelated developments (e.g., fingerprint and iris) are predicted to bring about higher development in overall performance than correlated developments (e.g., voice and lip movement). The fee of deploying those systems is drastically extra because of the requirement of latest sensors and, consequently, the improvement of suitable consumer interfaces. The identity accuracy may be appreciably progressed via means of making use of more and more developments despite the fact that the curse-of-dimensionality phenomenon could impose a certain in this quantity. The quantity of developments utilized in a selected utility may also be confined via means of realistic issues along with the fee of deployment, enrollment time, throughput time, predicted blunders rate, consumer habituation problems, etc.

VI. **Hybrid structures:** Chang et al. use the time period hybrid to explain structures that combine a subset of the 5 situations

mentioned above. For example, Brunelli et al. speak of an association wherein speaker popularity algorithms are mixed with 3 face popularity algorithms on the in shape rating and rank tiers through a HyperBF network. Thus, the gadget is multi-algorithmic in addition to multimodal in its layout.

### 3.LEVELS OF FUSION

Based on the kind of records to be had in a positive module, one-of-a-kind tiers of fusion can be defined. Sanderson and Paliwal categorize the numerous tiers of fusion into large categories: pre-type or fusion earlier than matching, and post-type or fusion after matching. Such a categorization is important due to the fact that the quantity of records to be had for fusion reduces substantially as soon as the matcher has been invoked. Pre-type fusion schemes generally require the improvement of latest matching techniques (because the matchers utilized by the character assets can also additionally not be relevant) thereby introducing extra demanding situations. Pre-type schemes encompass fusion on the sensor (or uncooked facts) and the characteristic tiers at the same time as post-type schemes encompass fusion on the in shape rating, rank and choice tiers.



1. **Sensor-stage fusion:** The uncooked biometric facts (e.g., a face picture) received from an character represents the richest supply of records even though it is predicted to be infected via way of means of noise (e.g., non-uniform illumination, heritage clutter, etc.). Sensor-stage fusion refers back to the consolidation of

- Raw facts received the usage of more than one sensors,
- Multiple snapshots of a biometric are the usage of a single sensor.

2. **Feature-stage fusion:** In characteristic-stage fusion, the characteristic units originating from more than one biometric algorithm are consolidated right into a single characteristic set via means of the utility of suitable characteristic normalization, transformation and discount schemes. The number one gain of characteristic-stage fusion is the detection of correlated characteristic values generated via way of means of one-of-a-kind biometric algorithms and, withinside the method, figuring out a salient set of functions that may enhance popularity accuracy. Eliciting this selection set generally calls for the usage of dimensionality discount strategies and, therefore, characteristic-stage fusion assumes the provision of a massive quantity of schooling facts. Also, the characteristic units being fused are generally predicted to live in a commensurate vector area that allows you to allow the utility of an appropriate matching approach upon consolidating the characteristic units.

3. **Score-stage fusion:** In rating-stage fusion the in shape ratings output via means of more than one biometric matcher are mixed to generate a brand new in shape rating (a scalar) that may be in the end utilized by the verification or identity

modules for rendering an identification choice. Fusion at this stage is the maximum typically mentioned technique within the biometric literature basically because of the benefit of gaining access to and processing in shape ratings (in comparison to the uncooked biometric facts or the characteristic set extracted from the facts). Fusion strategies at this stage may be widely categorised into 3 categories:

- density-primarily based totally schemes,
- transformation-primarily based totally schemes,
- classifier-primarily based totally schemes.

4. **Rank-stage fusion:** When a biometric gadget operates within the identity mode, the output of the gadget may be regarded as a rating of the enrolled identities. In this case, the output shows the set of viable matching identities taken care of in reducing order of confidence. The intention of rank stage fusion schemes is to consolidate the ranks output via means of the character biometric subsystems that allows you to derive a consensus rank for every identification. Ranks offer extra in-sight into the choice-making method of the matcher in comparison to simply the identification of the excellent in shape, however they display much less records than in shape ratings. However, unlike in shape ratings, the scores out-positioned by means of more than one biometric structure are comparable. As a result, no normalization is wanted and this makes rank stage fusion schemes easier to put into effect in comparison to the rating stage fusion techniques.

5. **Decision-stage fusion:** Many business off-the-shelf (COTS) biometric matchers offer right of entry to the handiest to the very last popular choice. When such COTS

matchers are used to construct a multi-biometric gadget, handiest choice stage fusion is feasible. Methods proposed within the literature for choice stage fusion encompass “AND” and “OR” rules, majority voting, weighted majority voting, Bayesian choice fusion, the Dempster-Shafer idea of proof and conduct expertise area.

## 4. CONCLUSION

Multibiometric structures are predicted to decorate the popularity accuracy of a private authentication gadget via means of reconciling the proof supplied via means of more than one reassets of records. In this paper, the one-of-a-kind reassets of biometric records in addition to the kind of records that may be consolidated changed into supplied. Typically, early integration techniques (e.g., characteristic-stage) are predicted to bring about higher overall performance than past due integration (e.g., rating-stage) techniques. However, it's tough to expect the overall performance benefit because of every of those techniques previous to invoking the fusion methodology. While the provision of more than one assets of biometric records (pertaining both to a single trait or to more than one developments) can also additionally give a compelling case for fusion, the correlation among the assets must be tested earlier than figuring out their suitability for fusion. Combining uncorrelated or negatively correlated reassets is predicted to bring about a higher development in matching overall performance than combining definitely correlated reassets. However, defining the proper variety degree to expect fusion overall performance has been elusive accordingly far.

Other subjects of studies in multi-biometrics encompass:

- ❖ protective multibiometric templates;
- ❖ indexing multimodal databases;
- ❖ consolidating biometric reassets in exceptionally unconstrained environments;
- ❖ designing dynamic fusion algorithms to deal with the trouble of incomplete enter facts; and



- ❖ predicting the matching overall performance of a multibiometric gadget.

## 5. REFERENCES

- [1] Ramanathan. R. et al., "A Novel Technique for English Font Recognition Using Support Vector Machines", in *Advances in Recent Technologies in Communication and Computing*, Kottayam, Kerala, 2009, pp. 766-769.
- [2] Line Eikvil, "Optical Character Recognition", NorskRegnesentral, Oslo, Norway, Rep. 876, 1993.
- [3] M Usman Raza, et al., "Text Extraction Using Artificial Neural Networks", in *Networked Computing and Advanced Information Management (NCM) 7th International Conference*, Gyeongju, North Gyeongsang, 2011, pp. 134-137.
- [4] Bertolami, Roman; Zimmermann, Matthias and Bunke, Horst, 'Rejection strategies for offline handwritten text line recognition', *ACM Portal*, Vol. 27, Issue. 16, December 2006
- [5] C.P. Sumathi, T. Santhanam, G.Gayathri Devi, "A Survey On Various Approaches Of text Extraction In Images", *International Journal of Computer Science & Engineering Survey (IJCSES)*. Vol.3, August 2012, Page no. 27-42.
- [6] Datong Chen, Juergen Luetttin, Kim Shearer, "A Survey of Text Detection and Recognition in Images and Videos". Institute Dalle Molle d'Intelligence Artificielle Perceptive Research Report, August 2000, Page no. 00-38.
- [7] Xu-Cheng Yin, Xuwang Yin, Kaizhu Huang, and Hongwei Hao, "Robust Text Detection in Natural Scene Images", *IEEE transaction on Pattern Analysis And Machine Intelligence*, 2013, Vol. 36, Page no. 970 – 983.
- [8] K. Wang, B. Babenko, and S. Belongie, "End-to-end scene text recognition". *International conference on computer vision ICCV 2011*, vol. 10, Page no. 1457 – 1464.
- [9] Xiaobing Wang, Yonghang Song, Yuanlin Zhang, "Natural scene text detection in multi-channel connected component segmentation", *12th International conf. on Document Analysis and Recognition*, pp. 1375- 1379, 2013.
- [10] Shehzad Muhammad Hanif, Lionel Prevost, "Text Detection and Localization in Complex Scene Images using Constrained AdaBoost Algorithm", *10th International Conference on Document Analysis and Recognition*, pp. 1-9, 2009.
- [11] Teofilo E. de Campos, Bodla Rakesh Babu, Manik Varma, "Character Recognition In Natural Images", *International conf. on Intelligence Science and Big data Engg.*, pp. 193-200, 2011.
- [12] Lukas Neumann, Jiri Matas, "Real-Time Scene Text Localization and Recognition", *IEEE Conf. on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2012, pp. 3538–3545.
- [13] Teofilo E. de Campos, Bodla Rakesh Babu, Manik Varma, "Character Recognition In Natural Images", *International conf. on Intelligence Science and Big data Engg.*, pp. 193-200, 2011.